

LAWSON LAW OFFICES
ANTONIO M. LAWSON [State Bar # 140823]
tony@lawsonlawoffices.com
160 Franklin Street, No. 209
Oakland, CA 94607
(510) 419-0940
(510) 419-0948 (fax)

LAW OFFICES of KENDRA L. TANACEA
KENDRA L. TANACEA [State Bar #154843]
kendra.tanacea@sbcglobal.net
198 Corbett Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94114
(415) 934-8844
(415) 934-8840 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

ROBERT SPURR, individually and
on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

BP PRODUCTS NORTH
AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. SA CV08-0781 DOC (MLGx)

**CORRECTED ORDER FINALLY
APPROVING SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT, EFFECTIVE
AUGUST 30, 2010, *NUNC PRO TUNC***

1 On August 30, 2010, the Joint Motion of Plaintiff Robert Spurr and
2 Defendant BP Products North America, Inc. for Final Approval of the proposed
3 class settlement came regularly for hearing. Antonio Lawson of Lawson Law
4 Offices appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Robert Spurr and Alexandra Bodnar of
5 Squire Sanders & Dempsey appeared on behalf of Defendant BP Products North
6 America, Inc. The proposed settlement in this case was preliminarily approved by
7 this Court on April 19, 2010(“Preliminary Approval Order”) (Dkt. # 73). Pursuant
8 to the Court’s Preliminary Approval Order and the Notice provided to the Class, the
9 Court conducted a Fairness Hearing under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e) on July 8, 2010.
10 After reviewing the terms of the proposed settlement in the context of the record in
11 this action and the controlling legal authority, the Court finds that the proposed
12 Settlement Agreement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate.

13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

14 1. The Court hereby unconditionally certifies the Settlement class, as set
15 forth in the Stipulation, for purposes of this Settlement only.

16 2. The Court hereby confirms Tony Lawson and Kendra Tanacea as
17 Class Counsel. Plaintiff Robert Spurr is confirmed as Class Representative for the
18 Settlement Class.

19 3. The notice given to Class Members fully and accurately informed all
20 Class members of all material elements of the proposed settlement, constituted the
21 best notice practicable under the circumstances; constituted valid, due and sufficient
22 notice to all Class members; provided Class members with an adequate opportunity
23 to object and to opt out of the settlement; and complied fully with Rule 23 of the
24 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the United States Constitution.

25 4. The Settlement Agreement set forth as Exhibit A is fair, reasonable
26 and adequate to Class Members pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
27 Procedure. The Settlement Agreement is hereby finally approved.
28

1 5. The Court retains continuing jurisdiction over this matter until such
2 time as the payments called for in the Stipulation and set forth below have been
3 made by the Settlement Administrator out of the \$800,000 maximum settlement
4 fund:

- 5 (1) \$540,693.56 to the Class (less employee share of taxes);
6 (2) \$12,000 to Robert Spurr as Class Representative;
7 (3) \$5,000 to the Labor Workforce Development Agency;
8 (4) \$200,000 to Class Counsel for their fees and costs;
9 (5) \$10,000 to Simpluris, Inc. for the cost of administration;
10 (6) employer taxes of \$32,306.44; and
11 (7) \$ 0 to Mothers Against Drunk Driving (representing the unclaimed
12 portion allocated to the class)
13 (8) any additional amount to Mothers Against Drunk Driving representing
14 the amount of any uncashed settlement checks to the class.

15 These payments shall be made in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.

16 6. Upon the Effective Date as set forth in the Stipulation, the Released
17 Claims of each Class Member who did not timely opt-out is and shall be deemed to
18 be conclusively released as against the Released Parties (as those terms are defined
19 in the Stipulation). Except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the
20 Settlement, all Class Members as of the Effective Date are hereby forever barred
21 and enjoined from prosecuting any of the Released Claims against any of the
22 Released Parties.

23 7. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Stipulation
24 constitute an admission by BP, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to
25 the named Plaintiff or any Class Member, nor does this Final Approval Order
26 constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the
27 Action, or of any liability of BP or any of the other Released Parties.
28

